



# EXTRAORDINARY PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 306 CUTTACK, FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 2005/MAGHA 29, 1926

## LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

## **NOTIFICATION**

The 25th January 2005

No. 823–li/1(B)-64/2000-L. E.–In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 4th November 2004 in Industrial Dispute Case No. 70/2001 of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bhubaneswar to whom the industrial disputes between the Management of Chairman/Secretary, Centre for Youth and Social Development, R. I. Institute Area, near Survey of India and its Workman Shri Ramesh Chandra Nayak was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below:

#### SCHEDULE

#### IN THE LABOUR COURT, BHUBANESWAR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 70 of 2001

Dated the 4th November 2004

#### Present:

Shri P. K. Sahoo, o.s.j.s. (Jr. Branch)

Presiding Officer, Labour Court

Bhubaneswar.

#### Between:

The Chairman/Secretary ... First Party–Management

Centre for Youth and Social Development R. I. Institute Area, near Survey of India

Bhubaneswar-13.

And

Shri Ramesh Chandra Nayak . . Second Party–Workman

S/o. Shri Padia Nayak

At/P.O. Dhana Changada, Via Kantilo

Dist. Nayagarh.



# Appearances:

For both the Parties .. None

#### **AWARD**

The Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department in exercise of powers conferred upon them by sub-section (5) of Section 12, read with clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) have referred the following dispute for adjudication vide their order No. 17691—Ii-1(B)-64/2000-L.E., dated the 24th December 2001.

- "Whether the termination of services of Shri Ramesh Chandra Nayak, Office Attendant by way of the verbal refusal of employment with effect from the 22nd September 1998 by the management of Centre for Youth and Social Development (C. Y. S. D.), Bhubaneswar is legal and/or justified? If not, what benefits the workman is entitled to?"
- 2. The workman is absent on repeated calls. No steps taken on his behalf. Similarly the management is also absent and no steps taken on its behalf. The perusal of the case record clearly emerges that both the management and the workman are absent. In such view of the matter, a no dispute award is passed accordingly.

Dictated and corrected by me.

P. K. SAHOO
4-11-2004
Presiding Officer
Labour Court
Bhubaneswar

P. K. SAHOO 4-11-2004 Presiding Officer Labour Court Bhubaneswar

By order of the Governor

D. MISHRA

Under-Secretary to Government